Docket No.: 2003P16866

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Before the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences

Applic. No. : 10/590,138 Confirmation No.: 5733

Inventor : Sebastian Obermanns

Filed: August 22, 2006

Title : Method, Intermediate Station and Central Control

Unit for the Packet-Switched Data Transmission in a

Self-Organizing Radio Network

TC/A.U. : 2617

Examiner : Amanuel Lebassi

Customer No.: 24131

Hon. Commissioner for Patents

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

BRIEF ON APPEAL

Sir:

This is an appeal from the final rejection in the Office action dated September 30, 2010, finally rejecting claims 10-20.

Appellants submit this *Brief on Appeal* including payment in the amount of \$540.00 to cover the fee for filing the *Brief on Appeal*.

Real Party in Interest:

This application is assigned to Siemens Aktiengesellschaft of München, Germany. The assignment will be submitted for recordation upon the termination of this appeal.

Related Appeals and Interferences:

No related appeals or interference proceedings are currently pending which would directly affect or be directly affected by or have a bearing on the Board's decision in this appeal.

Status of Claims:

Claims 10-20 are rejected and are under appeal. Claims 1-9 were cancelled.

Status of Amendments:

No claims were amended after the final Office action. *A Notice of Appeal* was filed on August 23, 2010 together with a *Pre-Appeal Brief Conference Request*. A *Notice of Panel Decision* issued on September 30, 2010 setting a due date of October 30, 2010 for filing a *Brief on Appeal*.

Summary of the Claimed Subject Matter:

The subject matter of each independent claim is described in the specification of the instant application. Examples explaining the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims, referring to the specification by page and line numbers, and to the drawings, are given below.

Independent claim 10 reads as follows:

A method for packet-switched data transmission in a self-organizing radio network with at least a first [page 7, line 12; 16QAM range, Fig. 2A] and a second [page 7, lines 13-14; 16QAM range, Fig. 2A] radio coverage area, and at least one mobile communication device [page 7, lines 13-15; CCx, CCy, Fig. 2A] for each radio coverage area [page 7, lines 12-14; 16QAM range, Fig. 2A], which comprises:

operating a first central control device [page 7, lines 13-15; CCx, Fig. 2A] in the first radio coverage area [page 7, lines 12-14; 16QAM range, Fig. 2A] and a second central control device [page 7, lines 13-15; CCy, Fig. 2A] in the second radio coverage area [page 7, lines 12-14; 16QAM range, Fig. 2A], for centrally controlling an

assignment of transmission channels assigned to the respective radio coverage area [page 7, lines 12-14; 16QAM range, Fig. 2A]; operating in each of the first and second radio coverage areas [page 7, lines 12-14; 16QAM range, Fig. 2A] mobile communication devices forming intermediate stations [page 8, line 20; FNX, Fig. 2A] for forwarding to the second radio coverage area [page 7, lines 12-14; 16QAM range, Fig. 2A] data originating from the first radio coverage area [page 7, lines 12-14; 16QAM range, Fig. 2A]; and

thereby operating the first central control device [page 7, lines 13-15; CCx, Fig. 2A] to control the transmission channels available to the first radio coverage area [page 7, lines 12-14; 16QAM range, Fig. 2A], both for transmitting data between the first central control device [page 7, lines 13-15; CCx, Fig. 2A] and the intermediate station [page 8, line 20; FNX, Fig. 2A] and for transmitting data between the intermediate station [page 8, line 20; FNX, Fig. 2A] and the second central control device [page 7, lines 13-15; CCy, Fig. 2A].

Independent claim 18 reads as follows:

An intermediate station [page 8, line 20; FNX, Fig. 2A] configured for carrying out the method according to claim 10.

Independent claim 19 reads as follows:

A central control device [page 7, lines 13-15; CCx, Fig. 2A] configured for carrying out the method according to claim 10.

Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal

1. Whether or not claims 10-19 are obvious over Gupta (US patent application publication No. 2004/0043782) in view of Yonge, III (US Patent No. 6,987,770) under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).

Argument:

Claims 10-19 are not obvious over Gupta in view of Yonge, III

Claim 10 includes a step of: "thereby operating the first central control device to control the transmission channels available to the first radio coverage area, both for transmitting data between the first central control device and the intermediate station and for transmitting data

between the intermediate station and the second central control device." Appellant believes this step of claim 10 is not taught by Gupta.

On page 3, line 14 through page 4, line 1 of the Office action dated June 30, 2010, the Examiner states, "Gupta discusses where a relay or intermediate device is configured to relay a plurality of messages associated with a plurality of other wireless communication devices along a plurality of adaptive relay paths therefore transmitting data between the intermediate station and the second central control device. Therefore, Gupta is showing the limitation of "operating the first central control device to control the transmission channels available to the first radio coverage area, both for transmitting data between the first central control device and the intermediate station and for transmitting data between the intermediate station and the second central control device".

Appellant believes that **Gupta** does not teach that the **first central control device** operates (=controls) the second central control device
with respect to transmission channels. The only thing one of ordinary
skill in the art is taught is that a path between the relay and the second
central control device may be set-up **somehow**, because several relay

paths exist. However, this does not in any way show that messages or any other signals originating from the first central station are operating the second central control station in order to control which transmission channels are used. Furthermore "relay paths" is a term in communication technology, which implies that data originating from a source is routed from mobile station to mobile station till it reaches the destination. Hence the cited parts of **Gupta** only disclose what happens in a higher communications layer (namely the "network layer"). This is a layer other than the one addressed by claim 10, since "transmission channels" is a term relating to the "physical layer".

Appellant believes that Gupta does not teach the step of claim 10 that has been copied above, and that the combination of Gupta and Yonge, Ill could not have suggested the invention as defined by claim 10.

Claim 10 also includes a step of: "operating in each of the first and second radio coverage areas mobile communication devices forming intermediate stations for forwarding to the second radio coverage area data originating from the first radio coverage area". Appellant believes this step of claim 10 is not taught by Gupta.

On page 3, lines 9-14 of the Office action dated June 30, 2010, the Examiner states, "Gupta discusses relay stations 125 c and 125 b which forward signals to the neighbouring coverage areas. Therefore, Gupta is showing the limitation of "operating in each of the first and second radio coverage areas mobile communication devices forming intermediate stations for forwarding to the second radio coverage area data originating from the first radio coverage area".

Appellant believes it should be clear that the term "intermediate stations" means that such a station is at the same time part of both coverage areas. Appellant believes that this must be guaranteed so that the second control device can be operated via the intermediate station by the first central control device, if data is forwarded. However, Fig. 1 of Gupta shows that the communication devices 125a and 125b are only part of only one and the same coverage area, namely cell 101.

Appellant believes that Gupta does not teach the additional step of claim 10 that has been copied above, and that the combination of Gupta and Yonge, III could not have suggested the invention as defined by claim 10.

Independent claims 18 and 19 incorporate all of the limitations of claim 10 and the discussion provided above with regard to claim 10 also

applies to claims 18 and 19.

The honorable Board is therefore respectfully urged to reverse the final

rejection of the Primary Examiner.

If an extension of time is required for this submission, petition for

extension is herewith made. Any fees due should be charged to

Deposit Account No. 12-1099 of Lerner Greenberg Stemer LLP.

Respectfully submitted,

/Mark P. Weichselbaum/ Mark P. Weichselbaum

Reg. No. 43,248

Date: October 21, 2010

Lerner Greenberg Stemer LLP Post Office Box 2480 Hollywood, Florida 33022-2480

Tel: (954) 925-1100 Fax: (954) 925-1101

Email: office@patentusa.com

MPW/bb

Claims Appendix:

10. A method for packet-switched data transmission in a selforganizing radio network with at least a first and a second radio
coverage area, and at least one mobile communication device for each
radio coverage area, which comprises:

operating a first central control device in the first radio coverage area and a second central control device in the second radio coverage area, for centrally controlling an assignment of transmission channels assigned to the respective radio coverage area;

operating in each of the first and second radio coverage areas mobile communication devices forming intermediate stations for forwarding to the second radio coverage area data originating from the first radio coverage area; and

thereby operating the first central control device to control the transmission channels available to the first radio coverage area, both for transmitting data between the first central control device and the intermediate station and for transmitting data between the intermediate station and the second central control device.

Application No. 10/590,138 Brief on Appeal dated 10/21/10

- 11. The method according to claim 10, which comprises transmitting control data appended in the transmission with the first central control device on a separate transmission channel.
- 12. The method according to claim 11, wherein the separate transmission channel is an FCH channel.
- 13. The method according to claim 12, which comprises, if the FCH channel cannot be received by the second central control device, appending with the intermediate station control data for the second central control device to the data to be forwarded.
- 14. The method according to claim 10, which comprises adding to the control data at least one of an address of the second central control device and a format of the data to be forwarded.
- 15. The method according to claim 10, which comprises analyzing the control data in the intermediate station.
- 16. The method according to claim 10, which comprises analyzing the control data in the second central control device.

- 17. The method according to claim 10, which comprises operating the radio network using central medium access control in accordance with a standard selected from the group consisting of IEEE 802.11 standard, IEEE 802.16, Hiperlan/2, and a standard derived therefrom.
- 18. An intermediate station configured for carrying out the method according to claim 10.
- 19. A central control device configured for carrying out the method according to claim 10.
- 20. The method according to claim 10, wherein the intermediate station is part of the first radio coverage area and the second radio coverage area.

Evidence Appendix:

No evidence pursuant to §§ 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or any other evidence has been entered by the Examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal.

Related Proceedings Appendix:

No prior or pending appeals, interferences or judicial proceedings are in existence which may be related to, directly affect or be directly affected by or have a bearing on the Board's decision in this appeal.

Accordingly, no copies of decisions rendered by a court or the Board are available.